- 1. These respondents admit the first paragraph of said pertition.
- 2. These respondents deny the allegations contained in the second paragraph of said pertition, and futher answering said paragraph aver that the pertition filed in your Honorable Court June 18th, 1915 does not correctly state the terms and conditions of said sale of real estate.
- 3. These respondents deny the allegations contained in the third paragraph of said petition.
- to finish planting the field in corn according to the terms of sale on account of an injunction procured by William E. Layman, the perchaser of said farm, resulting in great loss and damage to your respondents, and further answering said pertition these respondents aver that they have never at any time intehded to plant, much less plant, any millet or other grains in violation of the terms of the sale as anneunced the day said farm was sold to said pertitioner and perchaser of said farm.
- 5. These respondents in futher enswering said pertition aver that there still remaines about four acres of corn to be planted, which should have been planted, and would have been planted, half not the purchaser of said farm restrained these respondents.

Wherefore these respondents pray that they may be heard in the matter, and that thay may offer testimony in support of their answer to the allegations contained in the pertition exhibited against them, and your respondents pray that no injunction shall be granted in this case and that the pertition may be dismissed with reasonable costs.

Seville A. Leven.
Rose E. Leven.

State of Maryland, Frederick County, Sot!-

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of July, A.D. 1915 before the subscriber, Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, personally appeared Sevilla Layman and Rosa Layman, two of the defendants named in the pertition filed in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, Maryland on June 25th, 1915, and made oath in due form of law that the matters and things in the afforegoing answer are true togthe best of their knowledge and belief.

Filed July 6 1915.

Harry W. Bowers
Clerk.

## PETITION

Colonel Layman and Zoa Layman, his wife, et al. No. 9204 Equity.
In the Circuit Court

. **78.** 

for Frederick County,

Jacob Layman, et al.

In Equity.

The Petition of William H. Layman respectfully shows unto your Honors!

That on June 25th last your Honorable Court issued an injunction restraining the occupants of the property mentioned in these proceedings, to-wit! Misses Sevilla and Rosa Layman, their agents or servants, from casting a crop of millet and futher continuing the cultivation of said farm in violation of the terms of sale made on may 15th, 1913.

That said injunction was served by the Sheriff on the same day, but that the ecoupants of said property, to-wit! Misses Sevilla and Rosa Layman have continued the cultivation and planting of the said field in violation of the order of your Honorable Court and did complete the cultivation and planting of said field in violation of the wishes of your petitioner and of the positive order of your Honorable Court. WHEREFORE your Petitioner prays your Honorable Court to pass such order as may be proper to protect the interest of your Petitioner and to maintain the dignity and order of tour Honorable Court.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Emory L.Coblentz Charles C.Waters Solicitors for Prtitioner. William H. Layman Petitioner.